

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/624,013	Applicant(s) JESPERSEN ET AL.	
	Examiner Pramila Parthasarathy	Art Unit 2136	

All Participants:

(1) Pramila Parthasarathy.

(2) Robert Gnuse.

Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 27 September 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NONE

Claims discussed:

22 and 31

Prior art documents discussed:

NONE


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner requested that the limitation "upon completion of the transaction" to be added to the currently amended Claim 31. Applicant agreed and authorized the Examiner to amend the claim to include the limitation "upon completion of the transaction" and to remove the limitation "after the validation" which now recites, "legal entity C invalidating the unique transitory insignia upon completion of the transaction;". Applicant also requested the Examiner to amend Claim 22 to recite, "A method according to claim 12,".